

United States Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

APPLICATION NO	HIING DAH	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
08/926,592	09 04 1997	SHUNPEL YAMAZAKI	0756-1717	7227
٦.	55zn 0 * 14 2003			
NIXON PEABODY LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			PERT, EVAN T	
MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNII	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		08/926,592	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>		Evan Pert	2829			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	136(a) In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28,	<u> April 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 13,16,17 and 21-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>13,16,17 and 21-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
· s	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) [A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
	The translation of the foreign language pro	• •				
4 mm -						
N. S	e of Draftsperson's Patent (Pawing Helinwon') — who mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Nois) _		ate of exploration of the second of the seco			
U.S. Patent and T	rademark 0" ce . ∴ 4 ∩ 1) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 48			

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13, 16-17, and 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite because of the ill-defined claim term "providing" with respect to what happens to "a pair of first and second electrodes" in the claimed method. Applicant argues that the RCE amendment (resulting in the pending application) changing "preparing" to "providing" changes the scope of all the claims [paper 7, paragraph no. 3].

However, the examiner is confused about the difference in scope of "preparing" as compared to "providing" in view of the written description of the specification itself.

One could not merely "provide" the electrodes without "preparing" the electrodes, or the claimed method (invention) wouldn't work. The meaning of "preparing" and/or "providing" in the context of the specification is to place the electrodes in a reaction chamber arranged parallel and opposing. Nothing particular is disclosed about "preparing" as compared to "providing".

Art Unit: 2829

For purposes of examination, then, the meaning of "preparing" and "providing" in the claims in patentably insignificant and UNCLEAR in scope because all the claims necessarily require acts of "preparing" and "providing" electrodes for the claimed invention to work.

For example, the claimed act of "exciting" with the electrodes necessarily includes some act of both preparation and provision.

How is it possible to successfully practice the invention by merely "providing" electrodes without "preparing" the electrodes? If an electrode pair needs to work to perform an act of "exciting", doesn't that electrode pair need to somehow be "prepared"?

What does "providing" mean that "preparing" does not, in the context of applicant's working *claimed* invention?

What is actually going on to cause the electrode pair to be able to "excite" in the claimed method when they supposedly could be "provided" *without* being "prepared"?

Applicant's specification in view of the record leaves one wondering what the "preparing" versus "providing" issue of infringement is about [p. 47, page 2, paragraph 3]. As best understood by the examiner, there can be no difference between claims of "providing" and "preparing" an electrode pair in this case because all of the pending claims require "exciting" with the electrode pair which means they work which means they were prepared.

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

2. Claims 13, 16, 17, 21, 23-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman (U.S. Patent 4,563,367) for reasons of record in paper no. 45, item 2.

3. Claims 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman as applied to claims 21 and 26 above, and further in view of Tanaka et al. (U.S. 4,525,381) for reasons of record in paper no. 45, item 3.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive. Applicant argues that the Sherman reference fails to disclose that cleaning gas is introduced in pipe 47, required for rejection of the claims, even though the Fig. 4 shows the pipe 47 connected to etching (cleaning) gas along with deposition gasses as well.

Applicant argues that while Sherman shows cleaning gas pipeline 47 connected to the upper (second) (other) electrode of the exciting electrode pair, Sherman's *text* supposedly teaches away. Applicant emphasizes that Sherman describes "a number of gas storage tanks...which supply *deposition* as to line 47." [col. 3, lines 41-43, emphasis added].

While the *text* of Sherman does not disclose a cleaning gas pathway directly to the upper (second) (other) electrode, the Fig. 4 of Sherman explicitly shows "etching gas" which is referred to as "cleaning gas" or "NF₃" in Sherman's specification.

Art Unit: 2829

Sherman has improved the cleaning of deposition chambers and shows the system of Fig. 4 as an example with the inventive small chamber 30 for introducing gas more efficiently.

The apparatus of Fig. 4 is actually shown with Sherman's inventive chamber 30 inside a known apparatus with electrode pair 23/25A having (upper) (other) (second) electrode 25A clearly connected to cleaning gas, the less efficient and pre-Sherman way of introducing certain gas.

Therefore, applicant's arguments of "teaching away" are based on text describing Sherman's *improvement* while at the same time ignoring disclosure of the background of the improved apparatus and depictions of these apparatus in the figures themselves.

In the background (col. 3, lines18-58), Sherman explains that all reactors in general are subject to unwanted deposits that can be plasma cleaned by exciting a pair of electrodes. Since the apparatus of Fig. 4 shows the electrode pair having a path of cleaning and deposition gas to both electrodes, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to introduce the cleaning and/or deposition gas through the upper or lower, or both, depending on where the dirty parts were observed.

Therefore, the Sherman reference itself provides motivation to introduce cleaning gas anywhere deposition gas is introduced, as described in the background. One is motivated to introduce the cleaning gas where the dirty parts are, to clean the dirty parts.

Art Unit: 2829

5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

ETP

July 9, 2003

EVAN PERT

Page 6